

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2010) KLR VOL 5 PART 282 pp. 1623 - 1852

MAY 2010

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

Nnabuike S. Orji Esq. Managing Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Akpan v. Bob p. 1623
2. Baliol Nigeria Ltd v. Navcon Nigeria Ltd p. 1703
3. Central Bank of Nigeria v. Amao p. 1715
4. Chabasaya v. Anwasi p. 1745
5. Effiom v. Cross River State Independent Electoral Commission (CROSIEC) p. 1767
6. Oduwole v. David West p. 1795
7. Sapo v. Sunmonu p. 1819

ii ***INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2010) 5 KLR***

ACTIONS - Parties - Nonjoinder of PDP - Whether fatal - In view of the question for determination at trial court - The nonjoinder was not fatal - As it could be determined without joining PDP (H11) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

ACTIONS - Cause of action - Whether continuing - Where pension is being underpaid - If the payment is made monthly - Cause of action arises each time - Plaintiff is paid less than appropriate sum (H4) CBN v. Amao p. 1715

ACTIONS - Parties - Judgment in representative capacity - Liberty of courts - Once the pleadings and evidence show a matter was fought in that capacity - Judgment may be given in that capacity by the court suo motu (H4) Sapo v. Sunmonu p. 1819

ACTIONS - Parties - Nonjoinder - Whether fatal - No cause shall be defeated by reason of nonjoinder - As the court may in every cause - Deal with the matter as regards the rights - Of parties actually before it (H5) Sapo v. Sunmonu p. 1819

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - Circulars - Rate of pension for C.B.N. - Applicability of the circulars - In view of s. 14(3) of C.B.N. Act - The decision of the Board to approve the circulars - Made them applicable (H6) CBN v. Amao p. 1715

APPEALS - Briefs - Joint argument on issues - Severability - A court is at liberty to sever such argument - If doing so will meet the ends of justice (H6) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

APPEALS - Damages - Quantum - Interference by appellate court - Principles - It will only interfere where trial court acted on wrong principle of law - Or the amount awarded is ridiculously too high or too low (H1) Oduwole v. David West p. 1795

APPEALS - Grounds - Failure to consider pending motion - Validity as a ground - It is a valid ground of appeal - As a court is bound to dispense every such motion - Before taking a final decision in a mat-

ter (H3) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

APPEALS - Grounds - Text of judgment - Whether sole source - It is not the sole source - As a ground of appeal may also arise - From extrinsic factors like jurisdiction - Or some omission or commission by the court (H2) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

APPEALS - Grounds - Whether of law or fact - Where a ground does not question a lower court's assessment of facts - But rather its wrong application of legal principles - It is not of facts but law (H1) Effiom v. CROSIIEC p. 1767

APPEALS - Issues - Competence - Effect of incompetent grounds - Any issue distilled from an incompetent ground - Is as well incompetent - And liable to be struck out (H5) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

APPEALS - Issues - Exhibit 2 as contract under seal - Whether raised *suo motu* - Appellant is wrong to say that Court of Appeal raised that issue *suo motu* - For the record shows that it was respondent who raised it (H3) Chabasaya v. Anwasi p. 1745

APPEALS - Issues - Fresh issue of jurisdiction - Raised for the first time - Propriety - Though such issue can be raised at any stage - Being a point of law - It requires prior leave of court to be so raised (H1) Sapo v. Sunmonu p. 1819

APPEALS - Issues - Issue on substitution of candidate - Whether canvassed at trial - Contrary to contention of appellant herein - The issue was canvassed and decided on at trial (H8) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

APPEALS - Issues - Raised but not considered - Effect - As same have now been considered by Supreme Court - And found to be without merit - Failure to consider them at lower court did not occasion miscarriage of justice (H7) CBN v. Amao p. 1715

APPEALS - Issues - Striking out - Issue 2 of 1st respondent at lower court - Whether struck out - It is clear that issue 2 and arguments in support thereof - Was not struck out by the court - As contended by

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2010) 5 KLR**

appellant herein (H7) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

APPEALS - Issues - Validity - Issue on substitution of candidate - Validity at lower court - It was valid as it was raised - Upon appropriate leave having been sought and obtained - By 5th respondent (H9) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

APPEALS - Parties - Death of a party - Failure of counsel to inform court - Effect - It is the duty of a counsel whose client is dead - To inform the court - If he fails to do so - What judgment is delivered eventually - Is valid and binding (H3) Sapo v. Sunmonu p. 1819

APPEALS - Pending motions - Failure to notify court of - Effect - Where parties fail to notify court of a motion - And court fails to pronounce thereon - They cannot complain about the non-pronouncement on appeal (H4) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

APPEALS - Records of proceedings - Binding effect - It is settled law that the contents of such records - Are binding on both the court and the parties (H2) CBN v. Amao p. 1715

CONTRACTS - Binding nature - Price of chemicals - Whether agreed - Contrary to the submission of respondent - There was a binding agreement as to the price of the chemicals - In respect of the agreement dated 7th Oct. 1994 (H1) Baliol Ltd v. Navcon Ltd p. 1703

CONTRACTS - Enforceability - Failure to furnish consideration - Effect - A party who fails to furnish agreed consideration - Cannot enforce the contract - Indeed the innocent party may sue him for breach (H2) Chabasaya v. Anwasi p. 1745

CONTRACTS - Rescission by plaintiff - Proof - As defendant failed to tender the letter by which it claimed the agreement was rescinded - Trial court rightly held that it was not rescinded - Court of appeal was therefore wrong to hold otherwise (H3) Baliol Ltd v. Navcon Ltd p. 1703

CONTRACTS - Variation - By subsequent agreement - Requirements

- The latter agreement must be written - If the original is of such a nature as required to be in writing - And must be under seal in the absence of consideration (H2) Baliol Ltd v. Navcon Ltd p. 1703

COURTS - Appeals - Finding of facts - Contrary to those by trial court - Propriety - The evidence on the records - Shows that the findings by trial court were perverse - And justifies the contrary findings by Court of Appeal (H6) Sapo v. Sunmonu p. 1819

COURTS - Federal High Court - Declaratory relief - Granted on affidavit evidence - Propriety - It may be properly so granted - In view of the provisions of O. 46 of the Federal High Court Rules (H5)

COURTS - Issues - *Suo motu* resolution - Rule against - Limits - The rule that a court ought not to raise and resolve an issue - Without hearing the parties - Applies mainly to issues of fact (H5) Effiom v. CROSEC p. 1767

COURTS - Issues - Nature - Need to be substantial - An issue ought to be such that its resolution by the court - Will determine the matter in controversy one way or the other (H1) CBN v. Amao p. 1715

COURTS - Judgments - Based on *suo motu* issues - Effect - It does not necessarily lead to a reversal of the decision - Unless it is shown that miscarriage of justice was occasioned thereby (H4) Effiom v. CROSEC p. 1767

COURTS - Pleadings - Binding nature of - A court is not permitted to go outside the pleadings and issues joined - But trial court did so in his determination of the case - When it held that exhibit 2 was a contract under seal (H4) Chabasaya v. Anwasi p. 1745

ELECTIONS - Candidature - Disqualification - On grounds of indictment - Propriety - Indictment is not enough for disqualification - It must be shown that the person was convicted - By a court or other tribunal (H3) Effiom v. CROSEC p. 1767

ELECTIONS - Nomination - Issue before trial court - Whether merely

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2010) 5 KLR**

on nomination - In view of the questions on which the court made pronouncements - The issue thereat transcends nomination - To issue of substitution (H10) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

EVIDENCE - Conflicting documents - From the same source - Effect - As is the case with Exhibits MEU-4 and EA-2 - They both cancel out each other - Leaving the party alleging the fact in issue - With the initial burden of proof (H2) Effiom v. CROSIEC p. 1767

EVIDENCE - Proof - Piece of evidence - Probative value - Once it is relevant and not successfully challenged - It has probative value - And ought to influence the judge - In the determination of the case (H1) Chabasaya v. Anwasi p. 1745

JUDGMENTS - Action for damages - Failure to make monetary award - Whether fatal - Such failure is at most an irregularity which could be cured - As it did not occasion a miscarriage of justice (H4) Baliol Ltd v. Navcon Ltd p. 1703

RULES OF COURT - Compliance - Federal High Court Rules - Non-compliance with form of instituting proceedings - Court has option vide O. 2 r. 1 (1) - Of treating same as an irregularity - Which will not nullify the proceedings (H2) CBN v. Amao p. 1715

RULES OF COURT - Compliance - Federal High Court Rules - Objection to noncompliance - When to raise - It has to be done within a reasonable time - After objector became aware of the noncompliance (H3) CBN v. Amao p. 1715

TORTS - Libel - Assessment of damages - Factors to consider - Some of the factors to be considered - Are the social standing of plaintiff - And the rate of inflation (H3) Oduwole v. David West p. 1795

TORTS - Libel - Quantum of damages - Propriety - Though assessment is usually subjective - An award must be adequate to assuage for the injury to the plaintiff's reputation (H2) Oduwole v. David West p. 1795

WORDS & PHRASES - Appeals - Grounds - Meaning - It is an error of law or facts - Alleged by appellant - As the defect in the judgment appealed against - Which he relies upon to set it aside (H1) Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Central Bank of Nigeria Decree, No. 24, 1991, s. 14 CBN v. Amao p. 1715

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979, s. 258 Akpan v. Bob p. 1623; s. 318 CBN v. Amao p. 1715

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, ss. 221, 222 & 233 Akpan v. Bob p. 1623; ss. 36 & 137 Effiom v. CROSIIEC p. 1767

Court of Appeal Act, Cap C. 36, LFN, 2004 as amended, s. 15 Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

Court of Appeal Rules, 2002, O. 3 r. 23 Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

Cross-River State Independent Electoral Commission Guidelines for Local Government Council Election, 2007, paragraph 4 Effiom v. CROSIIEC p. 1767

Cross-River State Local Government Law, 2004, s. 12 Effiom v. CROSIIEC p. 1767

Electoral Act, 2006, s. 32 Effiom v. CROSIIEC p. 1767; ss. 32 & 34 Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

Federal High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules, 1990, O. 2 r. 1 & 2 and O. 46 CBN v. Amao p. 1715

Federal High Rules, 2000, O. 12 rr. 1, 3 & 5 Akpan v. Bob p. 1623

Pensions Acts, (Cap. 346) L.F.N; 1990, s. 15 CBN v. Amao p. 1715

Public Officers Protection Act (Cap. 379) L.F.N, 1990 CBN v. Amao p. 1715